UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,717	02/02/2006	Pasi Rajala	METSO-51	2683
36528 STIENNON &	7590 08/18/200 STIENNON	EXAMINER		
612 W. MAIN ST., SUITE 201			HALPERN, MARK	
P.O. BOX 1667 MADISON, WI 53701-1667			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/555,717	RAJALA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark Halpern	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 21-43 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-43 is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 21-30 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the content of the cont	n from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/7/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/555,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election with traverse of invention I, drawn on claims 21-30, in the reply filed on 7/1/08, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claim 38 is not obvious over or anticipated by Ahonen (6,413,371). This is not found persuasive because claim 38 is either obvious over or anticipated by Ahonen (6,413,371). Accordingly, the special feature linking the two inventions, a paper machine having contact-free drying, curl control, surface sizing, does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists. Therefore, the restriction is appropriate.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 31-43 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/555,717 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2) Claims 21, 23-24, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Korhonen (WO 02/103109).

Claims 21, 23-24, 29: Korhonen discloses a process of making a fine paper in a paper machine that includes drying the paper web W in a pre-dryer section 400, surface sizing of the web in a calender 500 followed by contact free drying in dryer 710 (Abstract). The contact free dryer 710 is described by Korhonen on pg. 13, lines 4-14 and on pg. 22, lines 16-29 as trademark device TurnDry™. The device is operated by controlling power to control the amount of heat provided to the drying of the passing web. It is inherent on in the least it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made the controlling of power in the contact free dryer would reduce the curl created in the pre-dryer.

3) Claims 22, 25-28, 30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Korhonen.

Claim, 22, 25-27: it would have been obvious to operate the contact free dryer at required temperature to obtain the moisture reduction percentage claimed.

Art Unit: 1791

Claim 28: steam box profiling device is used to assist profiling which would obviously assist in curl control.

Claim 30: it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made the controlling of power in the drying cylinders would reduce the curl created in the pre-dryer.

#### Conclusion

4) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone no. is 571-272-1190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Mark Halpern/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791